SWEDISH HOUSE OF FINANCE





NOBEL SYMPOSIA

Nobel Symposium "Money and Banking"

https://www.houseoffinance.se/nobel-symposium

May 26-28, 2018 Clarion Hotel Sign, Stockholm



Nobel symposium: Presentation on Bank Regulation

Raghuram G. Rajan



 $The \,University \,of \,Chicago\,Booth\,School\,of\,Business$

Trends in the study of bank regulations

- From history to current affairs
- From credit risk to liquidity risk
 - Academic concerns about aggregate liquidity shortages and the resulting systemic insolvency predate financial crisis
- From bank regulation to systemic regulation...
- From theory to empirical studies using extraordinarily detailed data sets

Outline

- Why regulate banks?
- What forms does micro-prudential regulation take?
- What are regulatory incentives? Who regulates the regulator?

Special focus

- Should monetary policy be sensitive to financial stability issues?
- What role is there for macro-prudential regulation?
- Should regulatory requirements be harmonized?

Why regulate banks?

- 1) Structure makes them fragile
- 2) Externalities/utility-like function
- 3) Utility plus banking-specific aberrations
- Authorities have powers that banks do not have
- 5) Because authorities intervene

Why regulate banks: 1) Structure makes them fragile

- Illiquid assets financed with demandable liabilities
 - Deposits liquid and money-like low asymmetric information (Gorton and Pennachi (1990), Stein (2012), Dang, Gorton, Holmstrom (2015))
 - Demandable deposits share liquidity demand risk amongst depositors (Diamond and Dybvig (1983))
 - Demandable deposits more liquid than underlying assets banker commits recovery skills (Diamond and Rajan (2001))
 - Demandable deposits reduces borrower moral hazard and cost of financing (Calomiris and Kahn (1991))
- Fragility due to
 - Sunspot panics
 - Asset value uncertainty when highly leveraged
 - Aggregate liquidity shortages

Why regulate banks? 2) Externalities/utility

- Banker does not internalize the full effect of bank's failure
 - Payments collapse (Friedman and Schwartz (1963))
 - Local lending collapses (Bernanke (1983))
 - Relationships/soft information (Fama (1984), Rajan (1992), Petersen and Rajan (1994,1995), Stein (2002), Khwaja and Mian (2005))
 - Fire sale (Shleifer and Vishny (1992)) and charge on common pool of liquidity
 - Systemic collapse through ex ante linkages (Allen and Gale (2000))
 - Contagious runs by drawing on a common pool (Diamond and Rajan (2005))

Why regulate banks? 3) Utility (discussed previously) plus banking-specific aberrations

- Optimism/neglected risks
 - Geanakoplos (2010), Gennaioli, Shleifer, and Vishny (2015)
- Agency: Hard to tell true state short termism and evergreening/overlending (Rajan (1994))
 - While the music's playing...
 - Role of inter-bank competition
 - Mian and Sufi (2009), Ramcharan and Rajan (2015), Granja, Leuz, Rajan (2018)
- Malfeasance/fraud
 - Paradox of liquidity (Myers and Rajan (1998) ...because that is where the money is.
 - Asset quality misrepresentation (Piskorski, Seru, Witkin (2017))

Why regulate banks? 4) Authorities have powers that banks do not have

- Central banks have the ability to expand their balance sheet and substitute liquid assets for illiquid ones in private hands.
- Government can credibly access longer term non-collateralizable private wealth through taxation, and can inject value into the banking system (Holmstrom and Tirole (1998))

Why regulate banks? 5) Because authorities intervene

- Deposit insurance
 - Risk shifting moral hazard (Kane (1985))
- Expected liquidity support/ accommodative policy/ central bank put
 - More leverage (Stein (2012), Farhi and Tirole (2012))
 - As well as more illiquid assets (Diamond and Rajan (2012))
 - Too big to fail/too many to fail

What forms does microprudential regulation take?

Capital requirements: Why?

- Governance by equity vs governance by debt
 - Hellwig (2016) citing Furstenberg
 - Lehman bankruptcy
- Budget constraint for risk
- Loss-absorbing buffer (Diamond and Rajan (2000))
 - Lower debt means more franchise value
- Debate: What is the optimal amount of capital? Modigliani Miller world or not (Admati and Hellwig (2014))
- Liquidity
 - Net stable funding (limit on short term funding)
 - Liquidity coverage ratio (asset composition)
 - Do both not collapse to net short term debt (Kashyap et al. (2014))

What forms does micro-prudential regulation take? Contd.

- Supervision/stress tests
 - Value of cleaning up the walking wounded (Caballero, Hoshi, Kashyap (2008), Diamond and Rajan (2011))
 - Confidence building rather than early warning (Borio(2014))
- Regulating bank structures/functions (typically after busts)
 - Narrow banking
 - Ring fencing/separately capitalized sub/holding company
 - Glass Steagall/Volcker rule/Breaking up the bank
 - Central bank-provided electronic money/public provision of liquidity
- Compensation regulation
 - Not about levels but form: risk adjustment/claw backs/long term vesting

Regulatory incentives

- Underactive ex ante, over active ex post
 - Taking away the punchbowl when the party gets going...versus pick up the pieces
- Pro-cyclical regulation
 - Liberalize into boom
 - Overregulate post crisis
 - Creates impetus to deregulate as memory fades
- Forbearance
 - S&L crisis, Japan in the 1990s
 - State regulators more lenient than federal (Agarwal, Lucca, Seru, and Trebbi (2014))
 - Prompt corrective action

Ongoing debates: Should monetary policy address financial stability?

- No
 - Monetary policy has limited effect

- Overcomplicates monetary policy setting and communication
 - Targets and instruments

Use macro-prudential

- Yes
 - Price stability ≠ Financial stability (Borio, White)
 - Monetary policy affects liquidity and both anticipating too much liquidity and too little can be problematic
 - Can be woven into medium term inflation forecast targeting framework
 - When more targets than instruments, tradeoffs in using instruments, not abandonment of a target
 - Macro-pru: Untested and partial need to bring all instruments to bear.
 - Monetary policy gets into all the cracks (Stein, 2013)

Macroprudential regulation

- Objectives (Borio (2014))
 - Protect financial cycle from banks constrain booms
 - Protect banks from financial cycle promote resilience if bust
- e.g. Countercyclical capital but market capital requirement is pro-cyclical (Diamond, Hu, and Rajan (2017))
 - Dealing with shape-shifting finance (Portes (2014))

Is there a need for regulatory harmonization across borders?

- Yes
 - Level playing field

- Otherwise race to the bottom
- Easier to sell domestically – Basel made us do it

No

- Skewed towards dominant country preferences
- Too little variety, coordinated mistakes, prevents race to the top
- Reduces democratic oversight

Conclusion

- Growing recognition that systemic regulation is key.
 - Regulators battle a moving and shape-shifting target
- Monetary policy not irrelevant to financial stability.
- Risk of fighting the last battle vigorously. Banks strictly regulated...
 - Risk and talent migration to the shadow
 - Are we ham-stringing a key player?
- Broad, robust, and timely regulation rather than micro-management