Current Inflation and International Transmission of U.S. Monetary Policy

Role of Policy Credibility and Balance Sheets

Şebnem Kalemli-Özcan University of Maryland, CEPR, NBER

August 2023

What drove 2021-2023 inflation and how FED hikes transmit internationally

- 1. Important role for sectoral shocks and financial frictions
- 2. Micro-macro approach for identification and policy implications

What drove 2021-2023 inflation and how FED hikes transmit internationally

- 1. Important role for sectoral shocks and financial frictions
- 2. Micro-macro approach for identification and policy implications

Current Inflation:

- diGiovanni, Kalemli-Ozcan, Silva, Yildirim, ECB-Sintra'22 "Global Supply Chain Pressures, Trade, and Inflation"
- diGiovanni, Kalemli-Ozcan, Silva, Yildirim, AER P&P'23a "Quantifying the Inflationary Impact of Fiscal Stimulus"
- diGiovanni, Kalemli-Ozcan, Silva, Yildirim, NBER WP forthcoming'23b "Pandemic-Era Inflation Drivers and Global Spillovers"

What drove 2021-2023 inflation and how FED hikes transmit internationally

- 1. Important role for sectoral shocks and financial frictions
- 2. Micro-macro approach for identification and policy implications

Current Inflation:

- diGiovanni, Kalemli-Ozcan, Silva, Yildirim, ECB-Sintra'22 "Global Supply Chain Pressures, Trade, and Inflation"
- diGiovanni, Kalemli-Ozcan, Silva, Yildirim, AER P&P'23a "Quantifying the Inflationary Impact of Fiscal Stimulus"
- diGiovanni, Kalemli-Ozcan, Silva, Yildirim, NBER WP forthcoming'23b "Pandemic-Era Inflation Drivers and Global Spillovers"

International Spillovers of MP:

- Kalemli-Ozcan, Jackson Hole Symposium'19 "U.S. Monetary Policy and International Risk Spillovers"
- diGiovanni, Kalemli-Ozcan, Ulu, Baskaya'21 RESTUD "International Spillovers and Local Credit Cycles"
- Kalemli-Ozcan and Varela, NBER WP "Five Facts about UIP Premium"
- Akinci, Kalemli-Ozcan, and Queralto.NBER WP "Uncertainty Shocks, Capital Flows, and International Risk Spillovers"
- Pierre de Leo, Gita Gopinath and Kalemli-Ozcan, NBER WP "Monetary Policy Cyclicality in EM"

Current Events

• Countries around the world have witnessed the highest inflation of the last four decades

- Countries around the world have witnessed the highest inflation of the last four decades
- Driven by large swings in economic activity over time and across sectors over Covid-19:
 - Collapse and rebound in domestic demand, GDP, and international trade
 - Consumption substitution across sectors (goods for services and back)
 - Labor shortages across sectors/countries (pandemic/lockdowns and recovery)

- Countries around the world have witnessed the highest inflation of the last four decades
- Driven by large swings in economic activity over time and across sectors over Covid-19:
 - Collapse and rebound in domestic demand, GDP, and international trade
 - Consumption substitution across sectors (goods for services and back)
 - Labor shortages across sectors/countries (pandemic/lockdowns and recovery)
- Global supply chains played a critical role in amplifying shocks within and across borders
- \Rightarrow Macro/central banks "woke up" to importance of supply shocks and production resilience
- \Rightarrow Future risks: geopolitical, climate change, fragmentation of production

SECTORAL Supply-Demand Imbalances \uparrow on a Global Scale During 2020–2021



SECTORAL Supply-Demand Imbalances \uparrow on a Global Scale During 2020–2021



SECTORAL Supply-Demand Imbalances \uparrow on a Global Scale During 2020–2021



Stylized Facts

Simultaneous slack and inflation



Source: FRED

Simultaneous increase in inflation and supply chain pressures



Source: FRBNY, FRED.



Notes: Seasonally-adjusted real private consumption. Source: OECD Quarterly National Accounts.

Inflation in goods picked up earlier than inflation in services



8/32

Model: Global Production and Trade Network

SECTORAL imbalances amplified via global trade and production network



(b) Industries



*L*_f: Potential level for factor *f*. Decrease due to sick workers, shutdowns, etc.



*L*_f: Potential level for factor *f*. Decrease due to sick workers, shutdowns, etc.



- \overline{L}_f : Potential level for factor f. Decrease due to sick workers, shutdowns, etc.
- L_f: Equilibrium employment level for factor f
 - Demand effects+downward wage rigidity
 ⇒ workers employed might be lower than potential
- Difference between \overline{L}_f and L_f : Keynesian unemployment



- \overline{L}_f : Potential level for factor f. Decrease due to sick workers, shutdowns, etc.
- L_f: Equilibrium employment level for factor f
 - Demand effects+downward wage rigidity
 ⇒ workers employed might be lower than potential
- Difference between \overline{L}_f and L_f : Keynesian unemployment
- During recovery point D: where these unemployment gaps are closed (heterogeneous across sectors, may not be back to 2019 but still inflationary)



CPI in country *n* can be written as:

$$\mathrm{d}\log CPI^{n} = \underbrace{\mathrm{d}\log \zeta^{n}}_{\mathrm{AD \ shock}} - (\Lambda^{n})^{T} \mathrm{d}\log \boldsymbol{L} - (\lambda^{n})^{T} \mathrm{d}\log \boldsymbol{A}$$

- Labor shortages, at home and abroad, are inflationary domestically
- Positive productivity changes everywhere, $d \log A$, are deflationary
- AD Shock includes both domestic AD shocks and exchange rate change

Quantification

Inflation Drivers before Russia War



 \Rightarrow Supply-side account for $\approx 1/2$ for Euro Area and $\approx 1/3$ for US (rest is demand; fiscal stimulus is 65 percent of AD)

 $\Rightarrow~$ MP can be effective by \downarrow AD but \uparrow pressure in prices with sectoral supply shocks

Inflation Drivers over Time 2020-2022



Extended period

International Transmission of U.S. Monetary Policy

How US FED Hikes Transmit to the ROW?



Not every country is the same in terms of:

- Composition of capital flows
- Risk sentiments of investors
- FX debt and other fundamentals
- Credibility of monetary policies and institutional environment

Monetary policy credibility of countries and strength of their balance sheets have important roles in determining risk sentiments and hence have power to affect the transmission.

Macro Facts

(a) GFC and Lending Rates ($\rho = 0.52$) (b) GFC and Non-Core Liabilities ($\rho = -0.51$) 9 * X 8 N S 8 2003q3 200803 201101 2013q3 2006q1 2011q1 2013q3 2006q1 2003q3 2008q3 Date Date - Lending Rate log(VIX) - Median Bank Non-core Ratio - log(VR) (c) GFC and UIP (ho = 0.61) (d) GFC and Collateral ($\rho = 0.01$) <u>و</u> 01 UIP De æ 200303 2006a1 200803 2011a1 201303 200303 2006a1 200803 2011a1 201303 Date Date - Turkey's UIP Deviation - log(VIX) - - Collateral/Loans - log(VIX)

16/32

- 43 % of cyclical credit growth is due to GFC
- Key channel is falling risk-premium, which lowers borrowing costs for average firm
- The pro-cylicality in the UIP risk premium with VIX implies that local currency borrowing becomes cheaper and increases during the boom phase of GFC

- 43 % of cyclical credit growth is due to GFC
- Key channel is falling risk-premium, which lowers borrowing costs for average firm
- The pro-cylicality in the UIP risk premium with VIX implies that local currency borrowing becomes cheaper and increases during the boom phase of GFC
- Implication for macropru policies and theoretical work:
 - Limiting private agents' foreign currency borrowing during credit boom events/lean against appreciation may not be sufficient
 - Lower borrowing costs also fuel local currency borrowing if banks can fund themselves cheaply in international markets

EMs Endogenous Policy Response

• Emerging economies are largely exposed to global financial conditions

• Emerging economies are largely exposed to global financial conditions

- Changes in global financial conditions pose trade-off to central banks
 - Example: U.S. monetary tightening \rightarrow tighter global financial conditions
 - Central banks in emerging economies can:
 - (a) increase their policy rate \rightarrow curtail capital outflows & FX depreciation
 - (b) lower their policy rate \rightarrow stimulate domestic economic activity

• Emerging economies are largely exposed to global financial conditions

- Changes in global financial conditions pose trade-off to central banks
 - Example: U.S. monetary tightening \rightarrow tighter global financial conditions
 - Central banks in emerging economies can:
 - (a) increase their policy rate \rightarrow curtail capital outflows & FX depreciation
 - (b) lower their policy rate \rightarrow stimulate domestic economic activity

• Global financial cycle challenges transmission of domestic monetary policy

- 1. Monetary policy is countercyclical: central banks lower policy rates during recessions
 - unconditionally, conditional on U.S. mon. pol. tightening & around episodes of global distress

- 1. Monetary policy is countercyclical: central banks lower policy rates during recessions
 - unconditionally, conditional on U.S. mon. pol. tightening & around episodes of global distress
 Does global financial cycle challenges transmission of monetary policy?

- 1. Monetary policy is countercyclical: central banks lower policy rates during recessions
 - unconditionally, conditional on U.S. mon. pol. tightening & around episodes of global distress
 Does global financial cycle challenges transmission of monetary policy?
- 2. Short-term market rates are disconnected from policy rates in emerging economies
 - market rates depart from policy rates over the business cycle
 - in advanced economies: market rates \approx policy rates

- 1. Monetary policy is countercyclical: central banks lower policy rates during recessions
 - unconditionally, conditional on U.S. mon. pol. tightening & around episodes of global distress
 Does global financial cycle challenges transmission of monetary policy?

2. Short-term market rates are disconnected from policy rates in emerging economies

- market rates depart from policy rates over the business cycle
- in advanced economies: market rates \approx policy rates
- 3. Short-term disconnect comoves with global financial conditions
 - Short-term disconnect strongly related to Dollar Premium & CIP Premium
 - Consistent with simple model where financial intermediaries' funding conditions determine market rates: policy pass-through to market rates incomplete if funding is global

Monetary policy rates around episodes of global distress



Monetary policy rates around episodes of global distress



20/32

$$i_t^P = \alpha + \beta_1 i_{t-1}^P + \beta_2 \pi_t + \beta_3 \tilde{y}_t + \epsilon_t$$

	Emerging Economies		Advanced Economies	
i_{t-1}^P	0.860***	0.826***	0.944***	0.930***
	(0.0058)	(0.0079)	(0.0075)	(0.0082)
π_t	0.394***	0.419***	0.304***	0.265***
	(0.027)	(0.034)	(0.029)	(0.028)
$\Delta g d p_t$	0.00892**		0.00133	
	(0.0037)		(0.0017)	
Output gap _t		0.0591***		0.0844***
		(0.020)		(0.011)
R-Squared	0.93	0.87	0.96	0.95

- A Taylor rule characterizes policy rates fairly well
- Estimates similar across emerging & adv. economies
- Estimates imply ho pprox 0.8, $\phi_\pi pprox 2$, $\phi_y pprox 0.5$

• Estimates suggest that monetary policy stance is countercyclical

Cyclicality of policy rates





During good times, monetary policy is tighter

U.S. monetary policy tightening & policy rates in emerging econ.



Impulse: 1 p.p. exogenous increase in Fed Funds Rate (Gertler & Karadi 15)

- policy rates decline after US MP tightening
- amongst contracting GDP, CPI Inflation, capital inflows

(see also Dedola et al. 17 & lacoviello & Navarro 19, Degasperi et al. 23)

Short-term rates in emerging economies

• Policy rates measure the stance of monetary policy

"Target interest rate set by central banks in their efforts to influence short-term interest rates as part of their monetary policy strategy"

• Short-term market rates measure the stance of monetary policy imperfectly

- Treasury rates: rates at which governments issue bonds
- Money market rates: rates charged on loans among banks

• Next: behavior of 3-month Treasury & Money market rates in AEs & EMEs

Cyclicality of policy rates and market rates

(1990-2018)



- market and policyx rates display opposite cyclicality in EMEs
- virtually identical cyclicality in AEs
- relevant distinction for Interpretation of cyclical stance of monetary policy

U.S. monetary policy tightening , policy rates & market rates



Impulse: 1 p.p. exogenous increase in Fed Funds Rate (Gertler & Karadi 15)

- market and policy rates display opposite response to US MP in EMEs
 - $\rightarrow\,$ policy rates decline after US MP tightening
 - $\rightarrow~\text{market}$ rates increase after US MP tightening

- The opposing movement of policy rates and market rates constitute a short-rate disconnect and ineffective monetary policy in EMEs
- Implication: EMEs affected worse from FED hikes
- Does this mean they are helpless?

The Role of Policy Credibility and Balance Sheets

Heterogeneity in Outcomes in Other Countries when FED Hikes



Low Credibility Countries are High FX Debt Countries



Higher policy uncertainty = higher external finance premia



Policy uncertainty leads to lack of credibility = more frequent problem than default risk



- Global production and trade network played a critical role in recent global inflation under sectoral demand, supply and AD shocks combined with input complementarity
- Risk premia is important for the heterogeneity in international transmission of US monetary policy
- EM's monetary policy stance, as implied by policy rates, is countercyclical
- Global financial cycle leads to limited monetary policy effectiveness in EM but not in AE
- Credible monetary policy and low FX debt are two channels that EMs can use to smooth out the effects of FED hikes