Discussion of Morelli: "Banking crises in the US: the response of top income shares in a historical perspective

> Daniel Waldenström Uppsala University

SITE inequality conference, Sept. 1-2, 2014

Paper

- Main question: How have banking crises (BCs) in the US affected the country's top income shares?
- Focus on three "systemic" crises:
 - Great Depression, S&L, Great Recession
- Method: Time series analysis
 - "Forecasting" (extrapolation)
 - $\Delta S_t = a + b_i \sum_i \Delta S_{t-i} + ct + e_t$
 - "Macro-econometrics" (regression with lags and exog. vars)
 - $\Delta S_t = a + b_i \sum_i BC_{t-i} + ct + d'X_t + e_t$
- Findings: Overall small impact of BCs on top shares
 - Top 1% share reduced; Top10-5% increases =>Top ineq down.
 - Effects are short-lived; the top restores its position fairly quickly

Top income and wealth shares in the US around the Great Depression

Figure 1.4: The rich during the U.S. financial crisis of 1930–1934.



Top income and wealth shares in Swden around the 1990s crisis

Figure 1.5: The rich during the Swedish financial crisis of 1990–1993.



Discussion: Overall and Data

- Overall focus:
 - Why only the US?
 - Why only systemic banking crises?
 - Why only income?
- Data on banking crises
 - The three crises are quite diverse results generalizable?
 - GD also broad economic crisis, stock market crisis; International
 - S&L pure banking crisis; Domestic
 - GR both banking and fiscal/economic crisis; International
 - Timing of crises can be discussed: S&L 1988?; GR 2007?
 - Crisis dummy vs. continuous intensity measure (year's share of GDP loss/credit losses/bank defaults etc)

Discussion: Method

- Time series approach
 - Relies largely on the series itself; little exogenous variation
 - Estimation of "total crisis effect" vague
 - Strong assumption that BCs influence other macro-/micro developments ending up affecting top income shares
 - Include controls in macro regression
- Assumption about no reverse causality (i.e., does inequality drive BCs?)
 - Currently a hot debate; be humble
 - Including one year lagged BC may not suffice

Discussion: Findings

- Credible result that crises have limited (long-run) effect on top income shares
- Is it the same persons in the top after the crisis?
 - We know little about mobility in the top
 - Either the rich take the hardest hit (large capital owners) or they have enough bufferts to survive (Rosenthal et al, 2007)
- Robustness checks: other crises
 - When would we reject the "main model"?