

Markus Ibert

# ESSAYS ON ASSET MANAGEMENT



## ESSAYS ON ASSET MANAGEMENT

"Mutual Fund Managers' Private Portfolios and Skills" studies mutual fund managers' personal investment decisions and how they relate to fund performance.

"Are Mutual Fund Managers Paid for Investment Skill?" investigates the determinants of managerial compensation.

"Firm Fundamentals and Realized Factor Betas" examines time- and cross-sectional variation in factor betas in response to variation in firm fundamentals.



MARKUS IBERT holds a B.Sc. in Business Administration and a M.Sc. in Management from the University of Mannheim. His main research fields are Asset Management and Portfolio Choice.

ISBN 978-91-7731-088-4

DOCTORAL DISSERTATION IN FINANCE  
STOCKHOLM SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS, SWEDEN 2018



# Essays on Asset Management

Markus Ibert

## Akademisk avhandling

som för avläggande av ekonomie doktorsexamen  
vid Handelshögskolan i Stockholm  
framläggs för offentlig granskning  
fredagen den 21 september 2018, kl 15.15,  
Swedish House of Finance,  
Drottninggatan 98, Stockholm



# Essays on Asset Management



# Essays on Asset Management

Markus Ibert





Dissertation for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy, Ph.D.,  
in Finance  
Stockholm School of Economics, 2018

Essays on Asset Management

© SSE and Markus Ibert, 2018

*Front cover illustration:*

© retrorocket/Shutterstock.com, 2018

ISBN 978-91-7731-088-4 (printed)

ISBN 978-91-7731-089-1 (pdf)

This book was typeset by the author using L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X.

*Printed by:*

BrandFactory, Gothenburg, 2018

*Keywords:*

Fund manager skill, fund manager ownership, fund manager compensation, mutual fund performance, financial sector income, asset pricing, time-varying betas, factor models, firm fundamentals

*To my parents, Jutta and Wolfgang.*





# Foreword

This volume is the result of a research project carried out at the Department of Finance at the Stockholm School of Economics (SSE).

This volume is submitted as a doctoral thesis at SSE. In keeping with the policies of SSE, the author has been entirely free to conduct and present his research in the manner of his choosing as an expression of his own ideas.

SSE is grateful for the financial support provided by the Jan Wallander and Tom Hedelius Foundation, which has made it possible to carry out the project.

*Göran Lindqvist*

Director of Research  
Stockholm School of Economics

*Magnus Dahlquist*

Professor and Head of the  
Department of Finance  
Stockholm School of Economics



# Acknowledgements

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to the people who have made the completion of this thesis possible, either through direct involvement or indirect support.

First, I am eternally grateful to my supervisor Magnus Dahlquist for his invaluable guidance and the trust he placed in me as a student, teacher, and researcher. Contrary to what I thought when I entered the program, the completion of this thesis was a strenuous effort. I never felt that Magnus lost trust in me which was of utter importance. Throughout my studies, he was a role model in terms of work ethics, modesty, teaching, and enthusiasm about research.

Second, I thank my co-authors Ron Kaniel, Martin Lenz, Michael Halling, Stijn Van Nieuwerburgh, and Roine Vestman. I am forever grateful to Roine for the trust he placed in me when inviting me to work with the data that would ultimately shape my dissertation. The counterfactual remains unobserved. I thank Michael for lengthy conversations and his feedback on various ideas and Martin for his friendship and support throughout the years. I am indebted to Ron and Stijn for being great mentors, their job market support, and for showing me how to write a paper from scratch to publication; I have really learned a lot about how to conduct empirical research.

Third, I thank current and former faculty members and the administrative staff at the Stockholm School of Economics for contributing to a wonderful work environment. I am particularly thankful to Farzad Saidi for his open-door policy that allowed me to bother him whenever I wanted and his straightforward advice, particularly during the job market, and to Paolo Sodini for the same open-door policy, numerous discussions, and for providing me with code, data, and documentation without which the completion of this thesis would not have been possible. I am thankful to Laurent Bach, Bo Becker, Jungsuk Han, Per Strömberg, Roméo Tédongap, and Irina Zviadadze for always being available to discuss ideas and answer my numerous questions. I am indebted to Anki, An-

neli, Elisabeth, Hedvig, and Jenny for their excellent administrative support throughout the years which made some parts of life a lot easier. I also kindly acknowledge financial support by the Swedish Bank Research Foundation.

Fourth, I would like to thank my fellow PhD students, many of which have become close friends. In particular, I thank my former office mates Fatimeh Hosseini, Jieying Li, and Ricardo Lopez Aliouchkin who welcomed me with open arms when I arrived and were always responsive to freshman questions. I am thankful to Andrea Camilli and Andrea Papetti for enduring me as a flat mate and for introducing me to the real Italian cuisine (I have stopped mixing pasta with ketchup). I thank Alberto Allegrucci, Andreas Born, Andreas Johansson, and Katarina Warg for joining me on countless lunches and for being wonderful colleagues and friends. Finally, special thanks go to my cohort consisting of Erik Sverdrup (whose IT support was highly appreciated), Henrik Talborn, and Yingjie Qi for sharing this journey with all its highs and lows with me.

Fifth, I am eternally thankful for the friendships that I have made here which made this journey worthwhile. In particular, I am proud to have been part of the Stockholm Invaders football team (which will have problems to stay ahead with the loss of its top striker). I have mixed feelings about my departure but the same excitement and curiosity about new adventures that I had when I arrived in Stockholm five years ago. Last but certainly not least, I thank my friends and family from home for their support throughout all my life. I thank my parents for their unconditional love and support, irrespective of whatever path I choose. I dedicate this thesis to them.

*Stockholm, May 4, 2018*

*Markus Ibert*

# Contents

<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>1 Mutual Fund Managers' Private Portfolios and Skills</b>	<b>5</b>
1 Introduction . . . . .	6
2 Data and Methodology . . . . .	12
2.1 Fund data . . . . .	12
2.2 Manager data . . . . .	13
2.3 Definitions . . . . .	14
2.4 Aggregation and performance measurement . . . . .	14
2.5 Fund size and fund performance . . . . .	16
3 How Fund Managers Invest Their Personal Wealth . . . . .	17
3.1 Descriptive statistics . . . . .	17
3.2 Portfolio compositions . . . . .	17
3.3 Investment restrictions . . . . .	18
4 Predicting Fund Performance . . . . .	19
4.1 Cross-sectional differences in fund performance . . . . .	19
4.2 Persistence and performance relative to benchmark . . . . .	21
4.3 Managerial learning . . . . .	22
4.4 The role of the fund family . . . . .	23
5 Personal Portfolios . . . . .	24
5.1 Cross-sectional differences in personal portfolios . . . . .	24
5.2 Overlapping holdings . . . . .	26
6 Robustness . . . . .	28
6.1 Scaling the amount invested . . . . .	28
6.2 Alphas, alternative benchmarks and tracking error . . . . .	29

6.3	Team management and busy managers . . . . .	30
6.4	Equity funds and other investment categories . . . . .	30
7	Conclusion . . . . .	31
	Bibliography . . . . .	33
	Tables and Figures . . . . .	39
	Appendix A: Data Appendix . . . . .	55
A.1	AUM and TER imputation algorithms . . . . .	55
A.1.1	Imputing AUM at the share-class level . . . . .	55
A.1.2	Imputing TER at the fund level . . . . .	55
A.2	Finding social security numbers . . . . .	57
A.3	Benchmark and factor models . . . . .	58
A.3.1	Morningstar prospectus benchmark . . . . .	58
A.3.2	CAPM . . . . .	60
A.3.3	FF4 . . . . .	60
A.3.4	GF5 . . . . .	60
	Appendix B: Manager Flows . . . . .	61
	Appendix C: Personal Portfolio Performance . . . . .	63
C.1	Entire personal portfolio . . . . .	63
C.2	Performance in overlapping holdings . . . . .	63
C.3	Front running . . . . .	64
<b>2</b>	<b>Are Mutual Fund Managers Paid for Investment Skill?</b>	<b>67</b>
1	Introduction . . . . .	68
2	Data and Measurement . . . . .	72
2.1	Sweden: A good laboratory . . . . .	72
2.2	Three hierarchical levels of data . . . . .	73
2.2.1	Fund level . . . . .	74
2.2.2	Manager level . . . . .	78
2.2.3	Firm level . . . . .	81
3	Manager-level Determinants of Compensation . . . . .	82
3.1	Pay-revenue sensitivity . . . . .	82
3.1.1	Empirical specification . . . . .	82
3.1.2	Sensitivity of pay to revenue . . . . .	83

3.1.3	Log-log specification . . . . .	85
3.1.4	Comparison to Berk and Green . . . . .	86
3.2	Pay-performance sensitivity . . . . .	86
3.2.1	Log-log specification . . . . .	87
3.2.2	Comparison to Berk and Green . . . . .	88
3.3	Revenue as measure of skill . . . . .	88
3.4	Longer performance evaluation periods . . . . .	89
3.4.1	Dynamic effects . . . . .	91
4	Importance of the Firm . . . . .	91
4.1	Firm fixed effects . . . . .	92
4.2	Firm revenue . . . . .	93
4.3	Firm profitability . . . . .	94
4.4	Costs and firm size . . . . .	95
4.5	Pay at large commercial banks . . . . .	96
5	Robustness . . . . .	97
5.1	Nonlinearities . . . . .	97
5.2	Dividend and partnership income . . . . .	98
5.2.1	Dividend income . . . . .	98
5.2.2	Partnership income . . . . .	100
5.3	Transitions . . . . .	100
5.4	Differences across investment categories . . . . .	101
5.5	Alternative performance measures . . . . .	102
6	Conclusion . . . . .	102
	Bibliography . . . . .	105
	Tables and Figures . . . . .	109
	Appendix A: Data Appendix . . . . .	125
A.1	Finding Socials . . . . .	125
A.2	AUM and TER Imputation Algorithms . . . . .	126
	Appendix B: Berk and Green Model Simulations . . . . .	129
B.1	Model . . . . .	129
B.2	Calibration and Simulation . . . . .	130
	Appendix C: Flow-Performance Sensitivity and Revenue Decomposition . . . . .	132
C.1	Exact Revenue Decomposition . . . . .	132



C.2	Estimated Flow-Performance Relationship . . . . .	135
C.3	Sensitivity of Compensation to Revenue Components . . . . .	136
Appendix D:	Persistent Effects of Performance . . . . .	139
D.1	Career Measures of Performance . . . . .	139
D.2	Dynamic Effects of Performance . . . . .	139
D.3	VAR Evidence . . . . .	142
Appendix E:	Assignment Model and the Managerial Talent Distribution . . . . .	143
Appendix F:	Partnership Income . . . . .	147
Appendix G:	Alternative Performance Measures . . . . .	149
<b>3</b>	<b>Firm Fundamentals and Realized Factor Betas</b>	<b>153</b>
1	Introduction . . . . .	154
2	Motivation & Related Literature . . . . .	155
3	Data and Methodology . . . . .	161
4	Empirical Results . . . . .	163
4.1	The evolution of factor betas over time . . . . .	163
4.2	Determinants of factor betas . . . . .	165
4.3	How persistent are factor betas? . . . . .	168
4.4	Are factor betas mean reverting? . . . . .	169
4.5	Robustness tests . . . . .	170
4.5.1	Significant betas . . . . .	171
4.5.2	Industry effects . . . . .	172
5	Conclusion . . . . .	174
	Bibliography . . . . .	175
	Tables and Figures . . . . .	179

# Introduction

This doctoral thesis consists of three independent empirical papers in finance. The common thread is asset management. The first two papers are closely related, use similar data, and investigate the role of mutual fund managers in the Swedish mutual fund industry. The third paper studies heterogeneity in factor loadings and relates it to firm fundamentals.

The first paper **Mutual Fund Managers' Private Portfolios and Skills** uses a unique data set on Swedish mutual fund managers' personal investment decisions. Data on individuals' personal portfolios is available because Sweden levied a wealth tax from 1999 to 2007 and required all residents to file their entire wealth in detail. Consistent with previous studies, I find that the average active mutual fund manager possesses no superior ability to generate abnormal returns (alpha) in managing her fund. That is, the average manager adds no value for the regular fund investor relative to a passive benchmark, for instance a low cost index fund. However, not all managers are alike. The minority of managers who invest (a lot of) personal wealth in the very same funds they professionally manage, that is the managers who have "skin in the game", consistently outperform. The majority of managers do not commit any personal wealth to their own funds, do not outperform their benchmarks after costs, and also invest more conservatively in their personal accounts, that is they hold more cash and more passively managed products. Overall, I conclude that fund managers, contrary to fund investors, know about their ability—or more often lack thereof—and invest their personal wealth accordingly. The results are relevant for the policy maker in evaluating the costs and benefits of requiring managers to publicly disclose the investments they make in their own funds. In light of the poor performance of the average active mutual fund in the past, all else equal investors would have earned larger returns from Swedish mutual funds in the past had they been able to differentiate between managers who eat their own cooking and those who

do not.

The second paper “**Are Mutual Fund Managers Paid for Investment Skill?**” (with Ron Kaniel, Stijn Van Nieuwerburgh and Roine Vestman) has been published in *The Review of Financial Studies*. Again using Swedish administrative data, the paper sheds light on the compensation contracts between firms and managers. Compared with the first paper, the second paper does not aim to predict future fund performance but tries to infer managerial compensation contracts by looking at past fund performance. While much is known about the relationship between funds and fund investors, absent of data on manager compensation the relationship between fund managers and their employers has been a black box. We uncover three main results, each of which is interesting in its own right, and each of which challenges common perceptions. First, we find a lower sensitivity of pay to manager-level assets under management, compared to the fixed fraction of assets under management typically charged by funds. The elasticity of compensation to the revenue the manager generates for his employer is 0.15%, implying there is a far from complete pass through of fund revenues to managerial compensation. In other words, a 1% increase in revenue lowers the manager’s share of revenue by 0.85%. Second, we find a surprisingly weak sensitivity of pay to performance: A nontrivial 1% increase in abnormal returns over the past year increases compensation by a paltry \$400. Pay to performance sensitivity increases once the components of revenue that are correlated with current and past abnormal returns are accounted for. However, even then it remains economically small and the component of revenue that is unrelated to past fund performance remains the dominant driver of pay. Third, firm-level characteristics, which typically are ignored in the literature, add substantial explanatory power for manager compensation. Overall, the results suggest that the incentives of fund managers and their employers are well aligned, whereas the incentives of fund managers and fund investors are less well aligned. Similar to the first paper, the results do not paint a very rosy light of the state of the active fund industry.

The third paper is “**Firm Fundamentals and Realized Factor Betas**” (with Michael Halling and Martin Lenz). The paper focuses on time series variation of factor loadings for common risk factors. We ask whether significant changes in firm fundamentals, for instance caused by management activities, are reflected in standard risk measures and find limited evidence that they are. Surprisingly, firm fundamentals are dominated in terms of explanatory power by an unobserved time invariant firm-specific component which

leads to stable factor loadings: Stocks with high and low factor loadings, respectively, tend to remain as such for a decade.